F13/4



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

VVAN 3HT TO TYATERDES THATELERS BHT
(THEMHORIVHE DHA SHOTELLATER)

OGIE-OBECS, D.C. 20018-1084

21 April 1995

The Honorable Carl T. C. Gutierrez Governor of Guam P.O. Box 2950 Agana, Guam 96910

Dear Governor Gutierrez:

I appreciate your concern over the potential impact of the Department of the Navy's 1995 Base Realignment and Closure recommendations regarding naval activities on Guam and the potential that misinterpretation of the flexibility encompassed by our recommendations has on reuse.

First, let me assure you that is not our intention to hinder in any way the economic revitalization of Guam by restricting the community reuse of former naval property and assets. The Secretary of the Navy, John Dalton, has made a personal commitment to support the President's "Five Part Community Reinvestment Program" to create new jobs in affected areas. During his testimony before the BRAC commission, the Secretary committed the Department to do everything it can to help revitalize the communities we are leaving.

It is our objective to convey, through long-term leases, outright transfers, or any other mutually agreeable arrangement, as much of the land area and facilities as possible from the affected activities on Guam so as to stimulate local economic growth while, at the same time, providing us with the strategic flexibility to maintain the necessary operational access to Guam port facilities. We will work with the Government of Guam or its agents to minimize any restrictions to possible agreements. In the context of our recommendation, we do not believe that continued access requires absolute ownership and absolute control of support facilities. Accordingly, a decision to retain facilities (or not) is contingent on the effectiveness of community recommendations relating to reuse and access arrangements. In short, we look forward to working with you to develop a sound, economically stimulating, and mutually acceptable reuse plan. This process can be a win-win process for both the Navy and the local economy.

You also asked about the future presence of the submarine tender currently stationed in Guam. As you are aware, the Navy often changes its homeporting plans due to force level changes and changing mission requirements. Therefore, while I cannot state with absoluteness that the current submarine tender will remain in Guam throughout the Five Year Defense Plan, the Navy's long range plan does include the retention of an afloat repair ship in Guam.

144

-wer 04/21/95 17:01 FAX

I hope this addresses your concerns. We are interested in working with Guam to preserve options for the Navy in the event of future military contingencies, but we are also concerned with assisting Guam in providing for its own economic revitalization after the closures and realignments are complete. If you have any further questions, please contact me. I have provided similar responses to Congressman Underwood and Speaker Parkinson.

Sincerely,

ROBERT B. PIRIE, JR.



From the TreePort of: Wayne Arny & Assoc., Inc.



Date: Monday, April 27, 1998

Number of Pages:



To: Leland Bettis, Guam Comm. on Self-Determination

Fax Number:

1-671-472-7549

Memo: Leland,

Attached is a copy of the Pirie letter from 1995. I sent a copy to Larry Toves and Sen. San Agustin. I think this is the letter to which Larry was referring. I have no letters from Pirie from Sept. 1995.

Wayne



APR-28-1998 01:21

2023338678

P.01

10.9

70203930307

HPR-23-1998 89:24

BRADY & BERLINER

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 1225 NINETBENTH STREET, N.W. SUITE 800

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 TELEPHONE: (202) 955-6067

FACSIMILE: (202) 822-0109

ONFIDENTIAL

CALIFORNIA OFFICES: LOS ANGELES SACRAMENTO BERKELEY

To:

FROM THE OFFICE OF

MaryEva Candon, Esq.

Leland Bettis

Commission On Self-Determination

(Including Cover Sheet)

4.22.78 Date:

Pay:

1-671-472-7549

Subjects

Island - Jam sending you one copy of what fin Beine sent us, and one copy of it marked by what I think the Hoverner wented when I read it to him. I don't know if Jim will accept the revision, or any other you might have. Why don't you ; the Havemor longer; advise men - but we should mobally more fairly quickly.

This leasurable have contain CONFIDENTIAL INPORMATION, which also may be DECALLY PRIVILEGED and which is included and in the included and in the included recipient of the factories of the employee, or the ngent responsible for achivering it to the intended recipient, you are herebymotified that any dissomnation or copying of this filestonic may be strictly proflibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify M. by telaphone and return the original telecopy to its at the above address, visiting postal service. (Liank you,

04/20/98 MON 04:09 FAX

correspondence File

Post-It* Fax Note 7671	DM9 4/20 100m
to Marylan + Roser	From JUM Beirna
Co/Dept.	Co.
Pront # 955-6067	Phono 224-2564
Fax 822-0109	FR 228-0541
2-93-0307	

Dear Governor Gutierrez:

I appreciate receiving the critique by the Commission on Self-Determination on the Administration's position on the provisions of H.R. 100 so promptly, especially in light of the difficulties caused by Typhoon Paka.

As I mentioned during our meeting in Guam, I am concerned that over the past several years both Guam and the Administration have become locked into positions that do not admit of resolution. That inability has resulted in lost opportunities to address many of the concerns which you and others have expressed with the present federal-territorial relationship. One exception is the issue of the transfer of federal surplus lands. While we do not have a final resolution on that issue yet, we have been able to make some progress.

In order to move the process of enacting legislation that will improve the present relationship along, I would like to propose that I introduce new legislation that will address the issues presented in Guam Commonwealth Act and the concerns raised by the Administration, but perhaps in a manner different from that proposed in either H.R. 100 or S. 1373. That approach may enable both the Administration and Guam to engage in more fruitful discussions than those that have occurred in the past. If you think that approach would be helpful, I would appreciate it if you could make your local counsel available to meet with my Committee staff and others to draft new legislation. I would not consider that assistance to in any manner circumscribe your position on any provisions in that legislation.

Again, I appreciate the time that you and other members of the Commission spent in preparing the analysis of the Administration's position.

04/20/98 HON 04:09 FAI

original ourse File

Post-It ^a Fax Note 7671	Date 4/20 mgm
To Marylan + Roser	For JIM BeiTHE
Co/Dept	Co.
From # 955-6067	Phono * 224-2564
Fat 822-0109	Far 228-0541
293-0307	

Dear Governor Gutierrez:

I appreciate receiving the critique by the Commission on Self-Determination on the Administration's position on the provisions of H.R. 100 so promptly, especially in light of the difficulties caused by Typhoon Paka.

we are both As immediated during our meeting in Guam, I concerned that over the past several years both Guam and the Administration have become locked into positions that do not admit of resolution. That in the has resulted in lost opportunities to address many of the concerns which you and others have expressed with the present federal-territorial relationship. One exception is the issue of the transfer of federal surplus lands. While we do not have a final resolution on that issue yet, we have been able to make some progress.

which you and I both wish to do

In order to move the process of enacting legislation that will improve the present relationship along. I would like to propose that I introduce new legislation that will address the issues presented in Guam Commonwealth Act and the concerns raised by the Administration, but perhaps in a manner different from that proposed in either H.R. 100 or S. 1373. That approach may enable both the Administration and Guam to engage in more fruitful discussions than those that have occurred in the past. If you think that approach would be helpful, I would appreciate it if you could make your local counsel available to meet with my Committee staff and others to draft new legislation. I would not consider that assistance to in any manner circumscribe your position on any provisions in that legislation. as you offered,

Again, I appreciate the time that you and other members of the Commission spent in preparing the analysis of the Administration's position.

down really mean here just the Administration?
or "the Sederal government" or "the united States government"